PAPER NAME

06 III.2.A.1 01 C.1.2.01 2009 Proceeding APCOMS.PDF

● 20% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

-
-

• Excluded from Similarity Report

- Submitted Works database **Bibliographic material**
- Quoted material expression of the Cited material expression of \sim Cited material
- Small Matches (Less then 8 words) Manually excluded sources
- 15% Internet database 18% Publications database
- Crossref database **Crossref Posted Content database**
	-
	-
	-

An Integrated Approach Involving Reliability [15](#page-8-0) **Improvement and Servicing Strategy For Warranted Products**

1 Mustofa, A. Cakravastia, D. Irianto and BP. Iskandar [16](#page-8-0) **Graduate Program in Industrial Engineering and Management** Bandung Institute of Technology Jl. Ganesa 10 Bandung, Indonesia 40132 Email: mustofa70@hotmail.com

Abstract. An additional cost caused by the product sold with warranty is significant and the cost is reported from 2% to 10% of sales price. Reducing the warranty cost is of important to the manufacturer. There are three approaches that can be considered to reduce the warranty cost i.e. reliability improvement, preventive maintenance, and warranty servicing strategy. Most models developed in the literature (called partial models) consider reliability improvement, preventive maintenance and warranty servicing strategy separately. As these approac[hes](#page-7-0) interact each other to influence the warranty cost, we need to consider them as an integrated one. In this paper we develop an integrated model considering two [app](#page-8-0)roaches i.e. reliability improvement and servicing strategy which minimizes the expected warranty cost. A numerical example is given to illustrate the optimal solution of the model developed and compares it with that of partial models. 18

Keywords: warranty cost, integrated model, reliability improvement and servicing strategy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Warranty offered by the manufacturer provides a protection to the consumer but it causes an additional cost as the manufacturer has to rectify all failures under warranty. The warranty cost incurred to the manufacturer is significant and the amount varies from 2% to 10% [of](#page-9-0) sales price $\frac{31}{\text{http://www.warrantyweek.com})}$. To find an effective way for reducing the warranty cost is of important to the manufacturer.

There are three approaches which can be considered to manufacturers for reducing the warranty cost i.e. reliability improvement (RI), preventive maintenan[ce](#page-9-0) (PM) and servicing strategy (SS) (Yun et.al.,2008). The costs depend on the reliability of a p[rodu](#page-8-0)ct and the warranty policy. The reliability influences the $\frac{12}{n}$ amber of failures and hence RI can reduce the number of claims and this in turn decreases the warranty cost. Thomas and Richard (2006) studied RI for reducing the warranty cost. The number of failures can be reduced by performing a proper PM over the warranty period. The study of PM for the warranted products has been developed by Richtken and Fuh (1986), Chun and Lee (1992), and Jack and Dagpunar (1994). For repairable items, SS can minimize the warranty cost and this topic has been

studied by Nguyen and Murthy (1986,1989), Jack and Schouten (2000) and Jack and Murthy (2001).

The studies of reducing the warranty cost in the literature consider approaches of RI, PM and SS independently. In fact, the approaches of RI, PM and SS interact each other in reducing the warranty cost. Reliability of a product influences PM required for the product and an optimal SS [that](#page-9-0) minimizes the warranty cost. PM carried out also affects²⁶ eliability of the product and this in turn [de](#page-7-0)termining a type of SS. SS which involves replacement and imperfect repair can also improve reliability of the product. As a result, one needs an integrated approach to red[uce t](#page-7-0)he warranty cost.

In this paper y , develop an integrated model which considers RI and S[S. Th](#page-7-0)e outline of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides the notations to formulate the model. Section 3 explains the details of the integrated model. Section 4 analy[zes](#page-9-0) the model to find the optimal solution. Section 5 gives $\frac{22}{4}$ numerical example to illustrate the performance of the model and compares the re[sults](#page-9-0) with that of partial models. Finally, Section 6 gives $\frac{27}{4}$ brief conclusion and discussion for future work.

2. NOTATIONS

 $\frac{32}{1}$ $\frac{32}{1}$ $\frac{32}{1}$ he following notations are used to formulate the mathematical models:

 \hat{e} : expected warranty cost per unit sold as a function of τ and $\hat{\theta}$ (\$/unit)

3. MODEL FORMULATION

[10](#page-8-0).
We consider repairable items sold with the warranty period $W = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$ and the policy, the manufacturer has to rectify all claim[s at](#page-8-0) no cost to the buyer. We assumed that all failures within the warranty period are valid claims and $\frac{11}{10}$ time to repair is small and hence can be treated as being zero.

3.1 Modeling Failures

 $\frac{5}{\pi}$ he time to the first failure is modeled by a

distribution function $F(t;\theta)$. The ti[me of](#page-8-0) subsequent failures depends on the type of the rectification. I_{ad}^{29} failures are [25](#page-9-0) inimally repaired then the process of failures following the on-homogeneous Poisson process (NHPP) with the failure rate function $r(t; \theta)$.

3.2 Servicing Strategy

SS can be defined as a strategy $\frac{5}{10}$ rectify each failure occurred within the warranty period. The simple SS only considers minimal repair whereas more comp_{23} SS can involve repair and replacement. The SS involving repair and replacement in the literature divides the warranty period into two intervals to three intervals for servicing the warranty. The SS with two intervals is conducted by Biedenwegs (1981) and Nguyen and Murthy (1986). The SS with three intervals has been studied by Nguyen and Murthy (1989), Jack and Schouten (2000) and Jack and Murthy (2001).

Nguyen and Murthy (1989) consider replacement in the first interval. SS where replacement is done either in early or close to the warranty period is not economical. Jack and Schouten (2000) considered minimal repairs in the first and thi[rd in](#page-8-0)terval. In the second interval, the failed items are replaced by a new item depending on the age of the fails item. Although the SS yields the optimal solution but it difficult to im[plem](#page-8-0)ent. Then Jack and Murthy (2001) have simplified it and only the first failure in the second interval results in replacement. The resulting solution is sub optimal but it is easy to implement (Jack et al.2009). 13

We describe the SS of Jack and Murhty (2001) as follows:

- The warranty period is divided into three intervals with parameter τ_1 and τ_2 where $0 \le \tau_1 \le \tau_2 \le W$ i.e. $[0, \tau_1]$, (τ_1, τ_2) and $[\tau_2, W]$.
- All failures in $[0, \tau_1]$ and $[\tau_2, W]$ are minimally repaired
- [Th](#page-7-0)e first failure occurs in (τ_1, τ_2) is replaced with a new item and subsequent failures are always minimally repaired

Figure 1: The SS of Jack and Murthy (2001)

Suppose that $J_s(\tau_1, \tau_2; \theta)$ is the expected warranty cost per unit sold. Jack and Murthy (2001) have derived $J_s(\tau_1, \tau_2; \theta)$ and it is given in Equation (1).

$$
J_S(\tau_1, \tau_2; \theta) = C_m \left\{ R(\tau_1; \theta) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (\rho + R(W - x; \theta)) \frac{f(x; \theta)}{\overline{F}(\tau_1; \theta)} dx + \left[R(W; \theta) - R(\tau_2; \theta) \right] \frac{\overline{F}(\tau_2; \theta)}{\overline{F}(\tau_1; \theta)} \right\}
$$
(1)

We consider the SS of Jack and Murthy (2001) in developing the integrated model. Furthermore, we set $\tau_1 = \tau$ and $\tau_1 = W$. Henc[e, the](#page-8-0) warranty period is divided into two intervals i.e. $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $(\tau, W]$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ denote the reliability paran 10 r. Then, α e expected warranty cost is a function of τ and θ and it is given by

$$
J_S(\overline{r}, \theta) = C_m \left(R(\overline{r}, \theta) + \int_{r}^{W} (\rho + R(W - x, \theta)) \frac{f(x, \theta)}{\overline{F}(\tau, \theta)} dx \right)
$$

(2)

3.3 Reliability Improvement

RI is defined as an effort to improve the reliability of items which can minimize the warranty cost. Let θ_0 denote the initial reliability parameter. The RI can be performed by improving the reliability parameter from θ_0 to $\hat{\theta}$. The RI can be done by either increasing or decreasing of the parameter value depending on the characteristic of the reliability parameter. If failure distribution is given by Weibull distribution, $\hat{\theta} > \theta_0$ of the scale parameter and $\hat{\theta} < \theta_0$ for the shape parameter.

The RI requires cost which is called the RI cost. For the manufacturer, the RI is considere[d on](#page-8-0)ly if the reduction of the warranty cost exceeds the RI cost. Various models of the cost function of RI have been proposed by Vintr (1999), Murthy and Khumar (2000), Kleyner et. al. (2004) and Huang et al.(2007). We consider the cost model of Huang et.al.(2007). The RI cost increases exponentially as the improvement of reliability increases. Suppose that the RI cost is $J_p(\theta_o, \hat{\theta})$ given by:

$$
J_P(\theta_0)
$$

$$
\begin{cases} a + b \exp\left(k \frac{\theta_0 - \bar{\theta}}{\bar{\theta} - \theta_t}\right) & \text{for } \theta_t < \bar{\theta} < \theta_0 \\ a + b \exp\left(k \frac{\bar{\theta} - \theta_0}{\theta_t - \bar{\theta}}\right) & \text{for } \theta_0 < \bar{\theta} < \theta_t \end{cases}
$$
 (3)

where *a* is a fixed ost per unit, *b* is a coefficient cost of changing the parameter value per unit and *k* is a technology factor, $a, b, k > 0$.

3.4 Integrating RI and SS

 We describe an integrated model involving RI and SS as follo[ws.](#page-7-0) The performance measure of the integrated model is the total cost which is defined [as s](#page-8-0)um of the warranty cost and the RI cost. Suppose that the total cost per unit sold is $J_T(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ given by: 13

$$
J_T(\bar{\tau}, \hat{\theta}) = J_S(\tau, \hat{\theta}) + J_p(\theta_o, \hat{\theta})
$$
\n⁽⁴⁾

where $J_S(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ is given by Equation (2) and $J_p(\theta_o, \hat{\theta})$ is given by Equation (3) . The decision variables of the model are τ and $\hat{\theta}$.

4. MODEL ANALYSIS

We obtain τ^* and $\hat{\theta}^*$ which minimize $J_\tau(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ subject to $0 < \tau \leq W$ and $\theta_t < \hat{\theta} < \theta_0$. Theorem 1 and 2 give the characteristics of τ^* and $\hat{\theta}^*$. Theorem 1 is adopted from the theorem of Jack and Murthy (2001).

Theorem 1

If a repairable item has the increasing failure rate with *t* then:

- i. If $1 < \rho < 1 + R(W, \hat{\theta}) 2R(W/2, \hat{\theta})$ then there is $\tau^* = d$ where $d \in [0, W/2)$ hence $J_s(d, \hat{\theta}) < C_m R(W, \hat{\theta})$
- ii. If $\rho > 1 + R(W, \hat{\theta}) 2R(W/2, \hat{\theta})$ then $\tau^* = W$ and the optimal servicing strategy is always minimally repaired for all failures and hence $J_y(W, \hat{\theta}) = C_m R(W, \hat{\theta}).$

The proof of the Theorem 1 is given in Jack and Murthy (2001).

We will show that $J_\tau(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ is a convex function of $\hat{\theta}$. By differentiating $J_T(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ with respect to $\hat{\theta}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial J_T(\tau,\hat{\theta})}{\partial \hat{\theta}} = C_m \bigg(\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \bigg(J_s(\tau,\hat{\theta}) \bigg) - \eta \phi(\hat{\theta}) \bigg)
$$
(5)

and

$$
\frac{\partial^2 J_T(\tau, \hat{\theta})}{\partial \hat{\theta}^2} = C_m \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \hat{\theta}^2} \left(J_s(\tau, \hat{\theta}) \right) + \eta \psi(\hat{\theta}) \phi(\hat{\theta}) \right)
$$
\nwhere $\eta = b/C_m$, $\phi(\hat{\theta}) = k \frac{\theta_0 - \theta_t}{\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_t \right)^2} \exp \left(k \frac{\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta} - \theta_t} \right)$
\nand $\psi(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_a \right)} \left(2 + k \frac{\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}}{\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta_t \right)} \right).$ \n
$$
(6)
$$

Since $\phi(\hat{\theta}) > 0$ and $\psi(\hat{\theta}) > 0$ for $\hat{\theta} \in (\theta_t, \hat{\theta}_0)$ then the

VIII-21

parameter $\hat{\theta}^*$ is obtained if and only if $\frac{\partial J_T(\tau,\theta)}{\partial \hat{\theta}} = 0$ θ $\frac{\tau, \theta}{\widehat{a}}$ $J_T(\tau, \hat{\theta})$
= 0 or $\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\theta}} J_S(\tau, \hat{\theta}) > 0$. We find $\hat{\theta}^*$ by using Theorem 2 as follows:

Theorem 2

If the larger (smaller) of $\hat{\theta}$ produces the worse (better) reliability of an item then:

If $\hat{\theta}_2 > \hat{\theta}_1 \iff f(x, \theta_2) > f(x, \theta_1)$ then $J_s(\tau, \hat{\theta}_2) > J_s(\tau, \hat{\theta}_1)$ and hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J_S(\tau, \hat{\theta}) > 0$. As a result, there exists $\hat{\theta} \in (\theta_t, \theta_0)$ hence $J_s(\tau, \hat{\theta})$ is a convex function of $\hat{\theta}$.

Proof:

If $\hat{\theta}_2 > \hat{\theta}_1$ then from Equation (2) we have

$$
R(\tau, \bar{\theta}_2) + \int\limits _{\tau}^W \Bigl(\rho + R(W-x,\bar{\theta}_2)\Bigl)\frac{f(x,\bar{\theta}_2)}{\bar{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_2)}dx > R(\tau, \bar{\theta}_1) + \int\limits _{\tau}^W \Bigl(\rho + R(W-x,\bar{\theta}_1)\Bigl)\frac{f(x,\bar{\theta}_1)}{\bar{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_1)}dx \quad \ \ (7)
$$

Equation (7) can be rewritten as (8).

$$
R(\tau, \bar{\theta}_2) - R(\tau, \bar{\theta}_1) + \int\limits_\tau^W \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\left(\rho + R(W-x, \bar{\theta}_2)\right) \frac{f(x, \bar{\theta}_2)}{\overline{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_2)} dx - \int\limits_\tau^W \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\left(\rho + R(W-x, \bar{\theta}_1)\right) \frac{f(x, \bar{\theta}_1)}{\overline{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_1)} dx \!> 0\;\; \text{(8)}
$$

Since $R(\tau, \hat{\theta}) - R(\tau, \hat{\theta}) > 0$ then Equation (8) is satisfied by

$$
\int_{\tau}^{W} (\rho + R(W - x, \bar{\theta}_2)) \frac{f(x, \bar{\theta}_2)}{\bar{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_2)} dx - \int_{\tau}^{W} (\rho + R(W - x, \bar{\theta}_1)) \frac{f(x, \bar{\theta}_1)}{\bar{F}(\tau, \bar{\theta}_1)} dx > 0
$$
\n(9)

Equation (9) holds when $x = c$, c is a constant and $c \in [\tau, W]$. Then we have

$$
(\rho + R(W - c, \bar{\theta}_2)) \frac{f(c, \bar{\theta}_2)}{\bar{F}(c, \bar{\theta}_2)} - (\rho + R(W - c, \bar{\theta}_1)) \frac{f(c, \bar{\theta}_1)}{\bar{F}(c, \bar{\theta}_1)} > 0
$$
\n(10)

or

$$
\left(\frac{\rho + R(W-c,\bar{\theta}_{2})}{\rho + R(W-c,\bar{\theta}_{1})}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{F}(\bar{c},\bar{\theta}_{1})}{\bar{F}(\bar{c},\bar{\theta}_{2})}\right)\left(\frac{f(c,\bar{\theta}_{2})}{f(c,\bar{\theta}_{1})}\right) > 1\tag{11}
$$

Since $R(W-c,\hat{\theta}_1) > R(W-c,\hat{\theta}_1)$ and $\bar{F}(\tau,\hat{\theta}_1) > \bar{F}(\tau,\hat{\theta}_2)$ then Equation (11) is satisfied if and only if $f(c, \hat{\theta}_1) > f(c, \hat{\theta}_1)$.

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

 $\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ consider that *F*(*t*; is [W](#page-7-0)eibull with scale and shape parameter, α and β respectively. We consider the shape parameter for improving the reliability with $\theta = \beta$ at $\theta_0 = 2$ and $\alpha = 1$ and hence are distribution function is given by $F(t; 2,1) = 1 - \exp(-t^2)$. We assume that $C_m = 100 , $\theta_{\min} = 1.5$,

 $\hat{a} = 0.05$ and $k = 1.1$. To show the performance of the integrated model we consider four strategies for reducing the warranty cost.

Strategy $\overline{0}$: $\overline{4}$ ll failures under warranty are minimally repaired

In this strategy, the value of the reliability parameter is equal to the initial value $\hat{\theta} = \theta_0$ and $\tau = W$. The total cost of this strategy is given by

$$
J_T(\tau, \hat{\theta}) = C_m \cdot R(W, \theta_0) \tag{12}
$$

Strategy 1: Using the SS of Jack and Murthy (2001)

In this strategy, we use the SS of Jack and Murthy (2001) as we discussed it in Section 3.2. The total cost is a function of variable τ at $\hat{\theta} = \theta_0$ and it is given by Equation (2).

Strategy 2: Improving the reliability a[nd Al](#page-8-0)l failures under warranty are minimally repaired

In this strategy, we use the approach of RI by decreasing the parameter from θ_0 to θ_0 ³⁰ the total cost is a function of $\hat{\theta}$ at $\tau = W$ and it is given by

$$
J_T(W, \hat{\theta}) = C_m \left[\hat{a} + \eta \exp\left(k \frac{\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}}{\hat{\theta} - \theta_t}\right) + R(W, \hat{\theta}) \right]
$$
(13)

where $\hat{a} = a/C_m$.

Strategy 3 : Integrating the RI and the SS of Jack and Murthy (2001)

In this strategy, RI and SS are considered simultaneously as discussed in Section 3.4. The total cost is a function of variables τ and $\hat{\theta}$ and it is given by Equation (4).

Table 1 shows the total cost of the Strategy 0,1,2 and 3 for $\rho = 2$, $\eta = 0.1$.

Table 1: The total cost of Strategy 0,1,2 and 3 for $\rho = 2$, $\eta = 0.1$, $\theta_0 = 2$ and $W = 3$

Strategy	τ^* (year)		$J_{\tau}(\tau^*,\hat{\theta}^*)$
			900
	1.212		566.6
		1.70	704.4
	1.146	1.78	$556.2*$

In Table 1, the Strategy 3 yields the least total cost. The integrated model (Strategy 3) is the best compared to those $\frac{6}{34}$ ther strategies in reducing the warranty cost. Table 2 gives the total cost of the Strategy 3 for various values of

the ρ and η i.e. $\rho = \{2,3,5\}$ and $\eta = \{0.1,0.3\}$.

101 $p = \{2,3,3\}$, $q = \{0.1,0.3\}$ $\theta_0 = 2$ all $w = 3$					
η	ρ	τ^* (year)	$\widehat{\theta}^*$	$J_T(\tau^*,\hat{\theta}^*)$	
	2	1.146	1.778	556.2	
0.1	3	1.149	1.776	655.8	
	5	3	1.700	704.4	
	\mathfrak{D}	1.175	1.870	592.3	
0.5	3	1.178	1.870	692.2	
		3	1.753	778.9	

Table 2: The total cost of the Strategy 3 for $\rho = \{2, 3, 5\}$, $n = \{0, 1, 0, 3\}$ $\theta_0 = 2$ an $W = 3$

Table 2 indicates that the optimal value of parameters of τ and $\hat{\theta}$ are affected by the values of ρ and η . The total cost increases as the value of ρ or η increases.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studie[d an](#page-10-0) integrated strategy involving reliability improvement and servicing strategy which minimizes \mathcal{L}_{eff} total cost i.e. the sum of the RI cost and SS cost (warranty cost). From the numerical examples, the integrated model provides the least total cost than those of other models.

An integrated model which considers preventive maintenance actions is interesting to research and this topic is under investigating.

REFERENCES

Chun Y.H., and Lee C.S. (1992), Optimal replacement policy for a warranted system with imperfect preventive maintenance operations, *Microelectronic Reliability*, Vol. 32. No.6, 839-843

Huang H.Z., Liu Z.J., and Murthy D.N.P(2007), Optimal reliability, warranty and price for new product, *IIE Transaction*, 39,819-827

Jack N. and Dagpunar J.S. (1994), An optimal imperfect maintenance policy over a warranty period, *Microelectronic Reliability*, Vol.34.No.3,529-534

Jack N. and Murthy D.N.P. (2001), A servicing strategy for items sold under warranty, *Journal of the Operational Research Society* 52, 1284-1288

Jack N. and Van der Schouten F.(2000), Servicing strategies for items sold under warranty, *International Journal of Production Economics* ,67,95-100

Jack N., Iskandar B.P. and Murthy D.N.P. (2009), A repair-replace strategy based on usage rate for items sold with a two-dimensional warranty, *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 94,611-617

Kleyner A., Sandborn P., and Boyle J. (2004), Minimization of life cycle costs through optimization of the validation program-A tes sample and warranty cost approach, *IEEE*, 553-558

Lin D., Zuo M.J., Yam R.C.M., and Meng M. Q-H. (2000), Optimal system design considering warranty, periodic preventive maintenance, and minimal repair, *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 51, 869-874

Murthy D.N.P., and Kumar (2000), Total product quality, *Int. J. Production Economics*,67,253-267

Nguyen D.G., and Murthy D.N.P. (1986), An optimal policy for servicing warranty, *Journal Operation Research Society*; Vol. 37;No.11;1081-1088

Nguyen D.G., and Murthy D.N.P. (1989), Optimal replace-repair strategy for servicing products sold with warranty, *European Journal of Operational Research* 39, 206-212

Ritchken P.H., and Fuh D. (1986), Optimal replacement policies for irreparable warranted items, *IEEE Transaction on Reliability*, Vol. R-35, No.5, 621-623

Thomas M.U., and Richard J.P. (2006), Warrantybased method for establishing reliability improvement targets, *IIE Transaction*, 38, 1049-1058

Vintr (1999), Optimization of reliability requirements from manufacturer's point of view, Reliability and Maintainability Symposium, *Proceeding Annual Volume*, Issue 18-21, 183-189

Yun W. Y., Murthy D.N.P., and Jack N. (2008), Warranty servicing with imperfect repair, *International of Production Economics*, 111,159-169

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Mustofa is a lecturer in Sekolah Tinggi Manajemen Industri of Industry Department of the Republic of Indonesia. He obtained his Bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology in 1994 and Master's degree in Industrial Engineering and Management from Bandung Institute of Technology in 2002. He is candidate of Ph.D from Bandung Institute of Technology and his dissertation is reliability and warranty area.

Andi Cakravastia is an Assistant Professor in Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia. He received a Doctoral Degree from the Graduate School of Engineering at Hiroshima University, Japan in 2004. His teaching and research interests include supply chain management and applied operations research. He has published many papers in several national and international journals. His email address is andi@mail.ti.itb.ac.id

Dradjat Irianto is an Associate Professor in Department of Industrial Engineering, Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia. He received a master degree from Keio University, Japan in 1993, and doctoral degree from Twente University, Nederland. His teaching and research interests 2nd Asia Pacific Conference on Manufacturing System 4-5 November 2009, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

include quality engineering, process quality control, and the implementation of quality management. His email address is irianto@lspitb.org

Bermawi P. Iskandar, He obtained BSc (1981) and MSc (1985) degrees in Industrial Engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia, and MEngSc (1989) and PhD (1993) degree from Department of Mechanical Engineering the University of Queensland. He is currently a Professor at Department of Industrial Engineering, Bandung Institute of Technology. His research interests include Product warranties, Analysis of warranty claim data, Maintenance and reliability models, Facilities layout design, Lot sizing models for a deteriorated product systems. He can be reached through his email address bermawi@mail.ti.itb.ac.id

● 20% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

-
-
- 15% Internet database 18% Publications database
- Crossref database **Crossref Posted Content database**

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

